IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITIONS NO.298/1996 AND 404/1998

WRIT PETITION NO.298/1996

Shri Mangaldas K. Desai,
major, married,
Police Inspector presently
posted at Bicholim Police Station,
Bicholim, Goa ..... Petitioner.

V/s.

1. State of Goa,
through its Chief Secretary,
having its Office at
Secretariat, Panaji, Goa 403 001;

2. The Inspector General of
Police, Govt. of Goa,
Police Head Quarters, Panaji-Goa.

3. Shri S.U.V. Caeiro,
Police Inspector,
Goa Reserve Police, through
I.G.P., Police Head Quarters,
Panaji, Goa.

4. Shri Vishnu Y. Parab,
Inspector through I.G.P.,
Police Head Quarters,
Panaji, Goa

5. Shri Apa K. Teli,
Addl. Supdt., Of Police (Crime)
Police H.Q. Panaji, Through
DGP, Panaji, Goa.

6. Shri Bernard F.D'Souza,
Addl. Supdt., of Police (Ret.),
St. Cruz, Goa through
D.G.P., Panaji, Goa.

7. Shri C.G. Borges,
Dy.Sp. (retired),
Housing Board,
Porvorim, Goa through
D.G.P., Panaji, Goa.

8. Shri Fintan D'Souza,
Deputy Suptd. of Police,
ANC-P Police Head Quarters,
Panaji, Goa through

D.G.P., Panaji, Goa.

9. Shri Gabriel Paes,
Dy.Sp. (retired),
Taleigao Goa through
DGP, Panaji, Goa.

10. Secretary,
Goa Public Service Commission,
Panaji, Goa. .... Respondents.

Mr. J.P. De Souza, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.A. Kamat, Govt. Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. S.G. Dessai, Sr. Advocate with Mr. D.B. Ambekar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. H.D. Naik, Advocate for respondent No.10.

WRIT PETITION NO.404/1998

Shri Mangaldas K. Desai,
Police Inspector presently
attached to Bicholim Police Station,
Bicholim, Goa ..... Petitioner.

V/s.

1. State of Goa,
(through its Chief Secretary,
having its Office at
Panaji, Goa.

2. The Inspector General of
Police, Govt. of Goa,
havig his office at
Panaji, Goa.

3.Goa Public Service Commission,
through its Chairman, with his
Office at EDC House,
Panaji, Goa. .... Respondents.

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Sr. Advocate with Mr. A.D. Bhobe, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.R. Bharne, Government Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. H.D. Naik, Advocate for respondent No.3.

CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR &
P.V. HARDAS, JJ.

DATE OF RESERVING THE
JUDGMENT : NOVEMBER 17, 2003.

DATE OF PRONOUNCING THE
JUDGMENT : DECEMBER 2, 2003

J U D G M E N T : (Per KHANWILKAR, J.)

Counsel for the petitioner(s) fairly submit that during the pendency of these writ petitions, principal grievance raised in the writ petition has been corrected upon issuance of seniority list dated 22.12.2000. In that sense, contend learned Counsel, that nothing survives for consideration in these writ petitions. However, our attention was drawn to the orders passed by this Court whereby this Court observed that although no interim relief as prayed for by the petitioner(s) was being granted, it was made clear that any further promotions made after the date of orders shall be subject to the result of the writ petitions. In the circumstances, it is clarified that in view of the disposal of these writ petitions, the authorities would take consequential steps in relation to the promotions already made during the pendency of these

writ petitions or otherwise as permissible by law. Petitions disposed of. No order as to costs.

A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.

P.V. HARDS, J.

ssm.